

## INVESTIGATING A CLASS OF GENERALIZED CAPUTO-TYPE FRACTIONAL VOLTERRA SYSTEMS

C. Kechar<sup>1\*</sup>, Ahmed A. Hamoud,<sup>2\*</sup>, A. Ardjouni<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Informatics and Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of Souk Ahras, P.O. Box 1553, Souk Ahras, 41000, Algeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz P.O. Box 6803, Yemen.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Souk Ahras, P.O. Box 1553, Souk Ahras, 41000, Algeria.

**Abstract.** This research work is related to study a class of hybrid integro-differential equations (HIDEs) using conformable fractal-fractional derivative (CFFD). To establish the condition of at least one solution to the said problem, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem is considered. Stability results are derived by the use of Ulam-Hyers (U-H) and U-H Rassias. At the end of the paper, we added two pertinent examples for the purpose of justification and strengthen of our derived results.

**Keywords.** Conformable calculus, Hybrid problem, Ulam-Hyers stability, HIDE, CFFD.

**AMS (MOS) subject classification:** 26A33, 34A08.

## 1 Introduction

Boundary value problems (BVPs) are important for characterizing a wide range of real world engineering and physical research challenges. Much research has been done in the field of BVPs, which correspond to both ordinary and fractional order differential equations. Using functional analytic tools for qualitative theory, researchers have examined many BVPs. We refer [12], [34] and references there in for more details about BVPs. In [29], authors accumulated various BVPs concerned to real world problems of mathematical physics. Applications for BVPs with integral boundary conditions can be found in a wide range of fields, including population dynamics, chemical engineering, thermo-elasticity, blood flow issues, physical systems, thermodynamics, and other dynamics (see [2]). In recent decades, FDEs have gained much attention due to their wide use in these branches of science. Being the generalization of classical derivatives, the importance of fractional calculus is started at the same time as ordinary calculus were [21]. Using such types of fractional order derivatives have numerous benefits [22] Various definitions were defined by some researchers but the prominent role is of Riemann-Liouville and Caputo arbitrary order [3, 25].

Recently, Atangana in [4] introduced the fractal-fractional derivatives (FFDs). Moreover, a relationship established between fractional and fractal

calculus. However the application of Atangana Balenue Fractal derivatives in neuroscience has been considered [13]. The qualitative theory of fraction DE in CFD,s very recent. Using fixed point results, for developing sufficient conditions we must see [31]. For the study of existence and stability of CFD one can see [3]. For the justification of our results, Ulam-Hyers (U-H) and U-H Rassias (U-H-R) theory as tools are helpful. The various applications to the real world problems of the CFDs [38] can strengthen our problem. The first ever used of chain rule by Khalil introduce the definition known as confirmable fractional order derivative (CFD). The properties of CFD is much similar with integer order [26]. The two operators Confirmable Derivatives and Fractal Derivatives have prominent role in theory and applications [15]. Researchers have investigated various results for different problems of fractional calculus, we refer to [9, 32]. Combining the two operators confirmable and fractal fractional derivatives were used recently for different problems. See some details for fractals fractional derivatives, we refer to [5, 36].

For physical applications, we refer to [8, 33]. Hybrid problems and systems of FDEs have been analyzed by researchers using different tools of mathematical analysis. In this regards, the significant contributions are cited as [16, 14, 28, 30].

Recently, many authors focus on the development of techniques for discussing the solutions of fractional integro-differential equations. For example:

The nonlinear fractional integro-differential equation with nonlinear conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c\mathcal{D}^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t)) + \int_0^t k(t, s, u(s)) ds, & t \in (0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0 - g(u), \end{cases}$$

was investigated in [1], where  ${}^c\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  is the standard Caputo fractional derivative of order  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g, f$  and  $k$  are given continuous functions. By employing the Krasnoselskii and Banach fixed point theorems, Ahmad and Sivasundaram obtained the existence and uniqueness results.

Unhale and. Kendre (2019), in [37] established the existence and uniqueness of solution for iterative integro-differential equations of the type:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^\alpha u(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t h(t, s) u(\lambda u(s)) ds, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

In [20] A. A. Hamoud (2021), discuss the uniqueness and stability results for nonlinear fractional Volterra–Fredholm integro-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c\mathcal{D}^\alpha u(x) &= f(x) + \int_0^x h(x, s) u(u(s)) ds + \int_0^T k(x, s) u(u(s)) ds, \\ x, s &\in J := [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

with the boundary condition

$$au(0) + bu(T) = c, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a + b \neq 0,$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $f(t)$ ,  $h(x, s)$  and  $k(x, s)$  are given continuous functions.

For some other results on fractional integro-differential equation, we refer the reader to the papers [6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24].

In [27], S. Khan studied a class of hybrid differential equations using conformable fractal-fractional derivative

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CFD}D^{\alpha, \beta} [\mu(t) - f(t, \mu(t))] = g(t, \mu(t)), & t \in [0, b] = \mathcal{J}, \\ \mu(0) = \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds, \end{cases}$$

We discuss our following single hybrid problem using confirmable fractional derivative. We consider the following BVP of CFFIDE with integral boundary condition given by

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CFD}D^{\alpha, \beta} [\mu(t) - f(t, \mu(t))] = g(t, \mu(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds), & t \in \mathcal{J}, \\ \mu(0) = \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $f: \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g: \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions, and  $K: \Delta \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Delta = \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq b\}$ .

Adopting the renowned fixed point theorems of Banach and Krasnoselkii [30], adequate criteria are formulated for the uniqueness and existence of a solution. It is important to highlight that fixed point theory is a useful instrument for examining a range of issues related to the qualitative analysis. Through applications, the stability problem has attracted significant attention in a number of research domain [35] and [10]. Furthermore, stability has recently been extensively investigated as a useful tool for various problems of U-H type. Recently, stability analysis of multiple types by employing various fractional differential operators has been explored by authors in [11] and [3] utilizing the U-H idea. Considering the importance of the aforementioned stability, we also look at some results for U-H and generalized U-H stabilities for our topic under consideration. Examples are given to demonstrate the results of this study.

## 2 Preliminaries

Here, we accumulate some definitions and results regarding conformable fractal fractional derivatives required in our analysis.

**Definition 2.1** ([4]) *The conformable derivative of a function  $f(t)$  defined on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  and for all  $t > 0$ , with order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , is defined as follows:*

$${}_0^C D_t^\alpha f(t) = \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \zeta t^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\zeta}.$$

Importantly, when the function  $f$  is differentiable, we have:

$${}_0^C D_t^\alpha f(t) = \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \zeta t^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\zeta} = t^{1-\alpha} f'(t).$$

**Definition 2.2** ([4]) *The fractal derivative of a function  $f$  with order  $\alpha$  is given by:*

$${}_0^F D_t^\alpha f(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} \frac{f(t) - f(t_1)}{t^\alpha - t_1^\alpha}.$$

*The most general case is given as:*

$${}_0^F D_t^{\alpha, \beta} f(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} \frac{f^\beta(t) - f^\beta(t_1)}{t^\alpha - t_1^\alpha}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

*For differentiable mapping  $f$ , one has*

$${}_0^F D_t^\alpha f(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} \frac{f(t) - f(t_1)}{t^\alpha - t_1^\alpha} = \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha} f'(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha f(t).$$

*Related integration is described as follows:*

$${}_0^F I_t^\alpha f(t) = \alpha \int_0^t t^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt.$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([5]) *Let  $H \in L[0, T]$ , then the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CFF} D^{\alpha, \beta} \mu(t) = H(t), & t \in \mathcal{J}, \\ \mu(0) = \mu_0, \end{cases}$$

*is given by*

$$\mu(t) = \mu_0 + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} H(s) ds.$$

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we present our main results.

#### 3.1 Integral representation of Problem (1)

The CFFIDE BVP (1) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t) &= \mu(0) + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \\ &\quad + f(t, \mu(t)), \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let us define a Banach space say  $X = C(\mathcal{J})$  with norm defined by

$$\|\mu\| = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |\mu(t)|.$$

Now, we present some hypothesis which are helpful in building our main existence results.

(H1) There exists constants  $L_{g_1}, L_{g_2}, L_f > 0$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2 \in X$  such that

$$|f(t, \mu_1(t)) - f(t, \mu_2(t))| \leq L_f |\mu_1 - \mu_2|,$$

$$|g(t, \mu_1, \mu_2) - g(t, \bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)| \leq L_{g_1} |\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}_1| + L_{g_2} |\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2|,$$

for each  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ .

(H2) There exist a function  $k(t, s) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^+)$ , as follows:

$$|K(t, s, \mu_1(s)) - K(t, s, \mu_2(s))| \leq k(t, s) |\mu_1(s) - \mu_2(s)|.$$

(H3) There exist nonnegative and continuous functions  $B_g(t), C_g(t), D_g(t) > 0$  with  $B_g^* = \|B_g\|$ ,  $C_g^* = \|C_g\|$ , and  $D_g^* = \|D_g\|$  such that

$$|g(t, \mu_1(t), \mu_2(t))| \leq D_g(t) + C_g(t) |\mu_1(t)| + B_g(t) |\mu_2(t)|,$$

for each  $(t, \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

(H4) For  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  there exists constant  $C_h > 0$  such that

$$|h(\mu_1) - h(\mu_2)| \leq C_h |\mu_1 - \mu_2|.$$

We denote:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_K(t) &= \int_0^t |K(t, s, 0)| ds, \\ \sigma_K^* &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \sigma_K(t), \\ \beta_k &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \int_0^t k(t, s) ds, \\ C_0 &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^b h(\mu(0)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1** *The problem (1) has a unique solution, if*

$$\Omega = (C_h b + \beta(L_{g_1} + L_{g_2} \beta_k) B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1} + L_f) < 1. \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** Let  $N : X \rightarrow X$  be the operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} N\mu(t) &= \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds + f(t, \mu(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|N\mu_1 - N\mu_2\| \\ &= \max_{t \in [0, b]} \left| \int_0^b h(\mu_1(s)) ds \right. \\ &+ \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu_1(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu_1(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds + f(t, \mu_1(t)) \\ &- \int_0^b h(\mu_2(s)) ds \\ &\left. - \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu_2(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu_2(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds - f(t, \mu_2(t)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

from condition (H2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu_1(s)) ds - \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu_2(s)) ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^t k(t, s) |\mu_1(s) - \mu_2(s)| ds \\ & \leq \beta_k \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

by (H1) and (4) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| g\left(t, \mu_1(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu_1(s)) ds\right) - g\left(t, \mu_2(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu_2(s)) ds\right) \right| \\ & \leq L_{g_1} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| + L_{g_2} \beta_k \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

hence, by (H4) and (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|N\mu_1 - N\mu_2\| \\ & \leq \int_0^b |h(\mu_1(s)) - h(\mu_2(s))| ds + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times \left| g\left(s, \mu_1(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu_1(\tau)) d\tau\right) - g\left(s, \mu_2(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu_2(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right| ds \\ & + |f(t, \mu_1(t)) - f(t, \mu_2(t))| \\ & \leq C_h \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| b + (L_{g_1} + L_{g_2} \beta_k) \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds + L_f \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \\ & \leq (C_h b + \beta (L_{g_1} + L_{g_2} \beta_k) B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1} + L_f) \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \\ & \leq \Omega \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by inequality (3) operator  $N$  is a contraction. So by Banach contraction principle, the problem (1) has a unique solution.

**Theorem 3.2** *Under the hypothesis (H1 – H4) the problem (1) has at least one solution.*

**Proof.** Let defined the space  $E = \{\mu \in X : \|\mu\| \leq \rho\}$  and taking two operators  $P, Q : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$Q\mu(t) = f(t, \mu(t)),$$

$$P\mu(t) = \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds.$$

Then for  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in X$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q\mu_1 - Q\mu_2\| &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |Q\mu_1(t) - Q\mu_2(t)| \\ &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |f(t, \mu_1(t)) - f(t, \mu_2(t))| \\ &\leq L_f \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

then the operator  $Q$  is a contraction. Now, we show that the operator  $P$  is bounded and continuous. Let  $\mu \in E$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\mu\| &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

by using (H2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds - \int_0^t K(t, s, 0) ds + \int_0^t K(t, s, 0) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t |K(t, s, \mu(s)) - K(t, s, 0)| ds + \int_0^t |K(t, s, 0)| ds \\ &\leq \|\mu\| \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \int_0^t k(t, s) ds + \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \sigma_K(t) \\ &\leq \rho \beta_k + \sigma_K^*, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and by (H3) and (6) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| g\left(t, \mu(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds\right) \right| \\ &\leq D_g(t) + C_g(t) \|\mu\| + B_g(t) (\|\mu\| \beta_k + \sigma_K(t)) \\ &\leq D_g(t) + (C_g(t) + B_g(t) \beta_k) \|\mu\| + B_g(t) \sigma_K(t) \\ &\leq D_g^* + (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) \rho + B_g^* \sigma_K^*. \end{aligned}$$

and from (H4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds - \int_0^b h(\mu(0)) ds + \int_0^b h(\mu(0)) ds \right| \\ & \leq C_h \|\mu\| + C_0 \\ & \leq \rho C_h + C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P\mu\| \\ & \leq \rho C_h + C_0 + \beta B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1} (D_g^* + (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) \rho + B_g^* \sigma_K^*) \\ & \leq [(\beta B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1}) (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) + C_h] \rho \\ & + C_0 + (\beta B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1}) (D_g^* + B_g^* \sigma_K^*) \\ & \leq \rho, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho \geq \frac{C_0 + (\beta B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1}) (D_g^* + B_g^* \sigma_K^*)}{1 - [(\beta B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1}) (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) + C_h]}.$$

Hence  $\|\mu\| \leq \rho \Rightarrow \mu \in E$  is Bounded. In the same way, we have  $\|P\mu\| \leq \rho$  which mean  $P(E) \leq E$ .

If  $t_1 < t_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |P\mu(t_2) - P\mu(t_1)| \\ & = \left| \int_0^{t_2} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \right| \\ & = \left| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \beta s^{\beta-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} [(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \beta s^{\beta-1} \left| g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \left| g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} [(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \beta s^{\beta-1} [D_g^* + (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) \|\mu\| + B_g^* \sigma_K^*] ds \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} [D_g^* + (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) \|\mu\| + B_g^* \sigma_K^*] ds \\
& = (D_g^* + (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) \rho + B_g^* \sigma_K^*) \left[ \int_0^{t_2} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^{t_1} \beta s^{\beta-1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} ds \right] \\
& = \beta (D_g^* + (C_g^* + B_g^* \beta_k) \rho + B_g^* \sigma_K^*) B(\alpha, \beta) [t_2^{\alpha+\beta} - t_1^{\alpha+\beta}].
\end{aligned}$$

Now as  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ , we see that right side gives us zero. Thus  $\|P\mu(t_2) - P\mu(t_1)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ . Hence  $P$  is equi-continuous. Thus by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem the operator  $P$  is compact. Consequently, it follows that problem (1) has at least one solution.

### 3.2 Stability Theory

Let us define a function  $\pi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  independent of  $\mu$ , such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Remark 3.3**

- (i)  $\pi(t) \leq \epsilon$ ,
- (ii)

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CF}D^{\alpha,\beta} [\mu(t) - f(t, \mu(t))] = g\left(t, \mu(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds\right) + \pi(t), \\ \mu(0) = \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $f : \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions. The solution of (7) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(t) & = f(t, \mu(t)) + \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t - s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t - s)^{\alpha-1} \pi(s) ds. \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 3.1, and using Remark 3.3, one has from (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(t) & = N\mu(t) + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t - s)^{\alpha-1} \pi(s) ds. \\
|\mu(t) - N\mu(t)| & \leq \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t - s)^{\alpha-1} |\pi(s)| ds \\
& \leq b^{\alpha+\beta-1} \beta B(\alpha, \beta) \epsilon \\
\|\mu(t) - N\mu(t)\| & \leq \Delta \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta = b^{\alpha+\beta-1} \beta B(\alpha, \beta).$$

**Theorem 3.4** *The solution of (1) is U-H stable and consequently generalized U-H stable if the condition  $\Omega < 1$  holds.*

**Proof.** Consider  $\mu_1$  be any solution of (1) and  $\mu_2$  be a unique solution of (1), then taking

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |\mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t)| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |\mu_1(t) - N\mu(t)| + \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |N\mu(t) - \mu_2(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \leq \Delta \epsilon + \Omega \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|.$$

After, rearrangement one has from above relation

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \leq \frac{\Delta}{1 - \Omega} \epsilon.$$

Hence the solution of (1) is U-H stable.

In addition, let there exist a non-decreasing function  $\omega : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega(0) = 0$ , then from above inequality we have

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \leq \frac{\Delta}{1 - \Omega} \omega(\epsilon),$$

where  $\omega(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ , we see that the condition of generalized U-H stability is also holds.

Consider the given remark

**Remark 3.5** *For function  $\pi : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  independent of  $\mu$ , we have*

$$|\pi(t)| \leq \lambda(t) \epsilon,$$

then the solution of

$${}^{CF}D^{\alpha, \beta} [\mu(t) - f(t, \mu(t))] = g\left(t, \mu(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds\right) + \pi(t), \quad (9)$$

$$\mu(0) = \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds, \quad (10)$$

has

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t) &= f(t, \mu(t)) + \int_0^b h(\mu(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g\left(s, \mu(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, \mu(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \pi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\mu(t) = N\mu(t) + \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \pi(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(t) - N\mu(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |\pi(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \beta s^{\beta-1} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda(s) \epsilon ds \\ &= \epsilon \int_0^b \beta s^{\beta-1} (b-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda(s) ds \\ &= \epsilon \lambda_{\alpha, \beta, b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta, b} = \int_0^b \beta s^{\beta-1} (b-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda(s) ds$ . Hence, one has

$$|\mu(t) - N\mu(t)| \leq \epsilon \lambda_{\alpha, \beta, b}.$$

**Theorem 3.6** *The solution of (1) is U-H Rassias stable if  $\Omega < 1$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in X$ , then using Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |\mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t)| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |\mu_1(t) - N\mu(t)| + \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |N\mu(t) - \mu_2(t)| \\ &\leq \epsilon \lambda_{\alpha, \beta, b} + \Omega \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \leq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha, \beta, b}}{1 - \Omega} \epsilon.$$

Hence the solution of (1) is U-H Rassias stable. Further there exist a solution  $\psi : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  non-decreasing function such that  $\psi(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ , then

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| \leq \frac{\epsilon \lambda_{\alpha, \beta, b}}{1 - \Omega} \psi(\epsilon),$$

which implies that the solution of considered problem is generalized U-H Rassias stable.

## 4 Applications

Here, we present examples regarding illustration of essential main results.

**Example 4.1** Consider

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CFD}D^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}} [\mu(t) - f(t, \mu(t))] = g\left(t, \mu(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds\right), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \mu(0) = \int_0^1 h(\mu(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where

$$f(t, \mu(t)) = \frac{|\mu(t)| + 1}{t^4 + 35}, \quad h(\mu(t)) = \frac{\exp(-|\mu(t)|)}{t^2 + 90},$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, \mu_1, \mu_2) &= \left( \frac{\cos^3 \pi t}{t + 13} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_1^2 + |\mu_1|}{|\mu_1| + 1} + e^{-t} \right) + \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \mu_2, \\ (t, \mu_1, \mu_2) &\in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K(t, s, \mu) = \frac{s}{3(1 + t^4)} \sin \mu.$$

Firstly, it can be easily proved that

$$|g(t, \mu_1, \mu_2) - g(t, \bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)| \leq \left( \frac{\cos^3 \pi t}{t + 13} \right) |\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}_1| + \frac{1}{1 + t^2} |\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2|,$$

also

$$|K(t, s, \mu_1(s)) - K(t, s, \mu_2(s))| \leq \frac{s}{3(1 + t^4)} |\mu_1(s) - \mu_2(s)|,$$

thus,

$$\beta_k = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t k(t, s) ds = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t \frac{s}{3(1 + t^4)} ds = \frac{1}{12},$$

Clearly

$$h(\mu_1(t)) - h(\mu_2(t)) \leq \frac{1}{90} |\mu_1 - \mu_2|,$$

and

$$|f(t, \mu_1(t)) - f(t, \mu_2(t))| \leq \frac{1}{35} |\mu_1 - \mu_2|.$$

So, we have  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $L_f = \frac{1}{35}$ ,  $L_{g_1} = 0.0769$ ,  $L_{g_2} = 1$ ,  $C_h = \frac{1}{90}$ . And

$$\Omega = (C_h b + \beta(L_{g_1} + L_{g_2} \beta_k) B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1} + L_f) = 0.24975 < 1$$

Hence, Theorem 3.1 implies that problem (11) has a unique solution. Also, assumptions of Theorem 3.4 holds, consequently the solution of (11) is U-H stable. In the same way, we can deduce the other kinds of U-H stability also.

**Example 4.2** Consider

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CFD}D^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}} [\mu(t) - f(t, \mu(t))] = g\left(t, \mu(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, \mu(s)) ds\right), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \mu(0) = \int_0^1 h(\mu(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where

$$f(t, \mu(t)) = \frac{\sin|\mu(t)| + 5}{t^4 + 22}, \quad h(\mu(t)) = \frac{|\mu(t)|}{t^5 + 150},$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, \mu_1, \mu_2) &= t + \frac{\mu_1}{5e^t(1 + \mu_1)} + \frac{1}{1 + t^4}\mu_2, \\ (t, \mu_1, \mu_2) &\in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K(t, s, \mu) = \frac{s}{9(1 + t^6)} \sin \mu.$$

Firstly, it can be easily proved that

$$|g(t, \mu_1, \mu_2) - g(t, \bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)| \leq \frac{1}{5} |\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}_1| + \frac{1}{1 + t^4} |\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2|,$$

also

$$|K(t, s, \mu_1(s)) - K(t, s, \mu_2(s))| \leq \frac{s}{9(1 + t^6)} |\mu_1(s) - \mu_2(s)|,$$

thus,

$$\beta_k = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t k(t, s) ds = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^t \frac{s}{9(1 + t^6)} ds = \frac{1}{36},$$

Clearly

$$h(\mu_1(t)) - h(\mu_2(t)) \leq \frac{1}{150} |\mu_1 - \mu_2|,$$

and

$$|f(t, \mu_1(t)) - f(t, \mu_2(t))| \leq \frac{1}{22} |\mu_1 - \mu_2|.$$

So, we have  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $L_f = \frac{1}{22}$ ,  $L_{g_1} = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $L_{g_2} = 1$ ,  $C_h = \frac{1}{150}$ . And

$$\Omega = (C_h b + \beta(L_{g_1} + L_{g_2} \beta_k) B(\alpha, \beta) b^{\alpha+\beta-1} + L_f) = 0.5312 < 1.$$

Hence, Theorem 3.1 implies that problem (12) has a unique solution. Also, assumptions of Theorem 3.4 holds, consequently the solution of (12) is U-H stable. In the same way, we can deduce the other kinds of U-H stability also.

## 5 Conclusion

This word was devoted to investigate a class of HIDEs with CFFD. We have deduced sufficient results for the existence theory and different kinds of U-H stability using fixed point theory and functional analysis tools. Some pertinent examples were given to demonstrate the establish results. The CFFD is a powerful tool and can be used as another alternative in investigation of various dynamical problems. We concluded that the presented analysis will provide basis for deducing new results for more general nonlinear problem using CFFD. Therefore, in the future for useful results, various problems can be examine through this type of analysis.

## References

- [1] B. Ahmad, S. Sivasundaram, *Some existence results for fractional integro-differential equations with nonlinear conditions*, Commun. Appl. Anal., **1**(2) (2008), 107–112.
- [2] B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, *Existence results for nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional integro-differential equations with integral boundary conditions*, Boundary value problems, (2009), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/708576>
- [3] S. M. Atshan, and A. A. Hamoud, *Qualitative analysis of ABR-fractional Volterra-Fredholm system*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications (2024), 113–130.
- [4] A. Atangana, *Fractal-fractional differentiation and integration: connecting fractal calculus and fractional calculus to predict complex system*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **102** (2017), 396–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2017.04.027>
- [5] A. Atangana, M. A. Khan, *Validity of fractal derivative to capturing chaotic attractors*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **126** (2019), 50–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.06.002>
- [6] D. Bahuguna, J. Dabas, *Existence and uniqueness of a solution to a partial integro-differential equation by the method of Lines*, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, **4** (2008), 1–12.
- [7] K. Balachandran, J. J. Trujillo, *The nonlocal Cauchy problem for nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Applic, **72** (2010), 4587–4593.
- [8] W. Chen, H. Sun, X. Li, *Fractal and Fractional Calculus*, In *Fractional Derivative Modeling in Mechanics and Engineering*. Singapore: Springer Nature, Singapore, (2022), 83–114. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-16-8802-7>
- [9] B. C. Dhage, S. B. Dhage, K. Buvaeswari, *Existence of mild solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems of coupled hybrid fractional integro-differential equations*, J. Fract. Calc. Appl, **10**(2) (2019), 191–206. <http://math-frac.oreg/Journals/JFCA/>
- [10] B. C. Dhage, *Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach algebras and applications*, Panamerican Mathematical Journal, **9** (1999), 83–102. [doi:10.1016/j.aml.2003.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2003.10.014)
- [11] A. Devi, A. Kumar, *Hyers-Ulam stability and existence of solution for hybrid fractional differential equation with p-Laplacian operator*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **156** (2022), 111859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111859>
- [12] F. Flyer, A. S. Fokas, *A hybrid analytical-numerical method for solving evolution partial differential equations*, I: The Half-Line, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A Math Phys. Eng. Sci. **464** (2008), 1823–1849. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2008.0041>
- [13] E. F. D. Goufo, M. Mbhou, M. M. K. Pene, *A peculiar application of Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative in neuroscience: Chaotic burst dynamics*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **115** (2018), 170–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2018.08.003>

- [14] S. Gul, R. A. Khan, H. Khan, R. George, S. Etemad, S. Rezapour, *Analysis on a coupled system of two sequential hybrid BVPs with numerical simulations to a model of typhoid treatment*, Alexandria Engineering Journal, **61**(12) (2022), 10085-10098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113901>
- [15] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, *Some new existence, uniqueness and convergence results for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, J. Appl. Comput. Mech. **5**(1), (2019) 58-69.
- [16] A. Hamoud, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional neutral Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application, **4**(4), (2020) 321-331.
- [17] A. A. Hamoud, C. Kechar, A. Ardjouni, H. Emadifar, A. Kumar, L. Abualigah, *On Hadamard-Caputo implicit fractional integro-differential equations with boundary fractional conditions*, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, **5**(3) (2026), 491-504.
- [18] A. A. Hamoud, K. P. Ghadle, *The Approximate solutions of fractional Volterra Fredholm integro-differential equations by using analytical techniques*, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., **7** (25) (1) (2018), 41-58.
- [19] A. A. Hamoud, M. SH. Bani Issa, K. P. Ghadle, *Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, **23**(4) (2018), 797-805.
- [20] A. A. Hamoud, *Uniqueness and stability results for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., **14**(3) (2021), 313-325. DOI: 10.17516/1997-1397-2021-14-3-313-325.
- [21] A.A. Hamoud, N.M. Mohammed, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for the neutral fractional integro differential equations*, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series B: Applications & Algorithms, **29** (2022) 49-61.
- [22] A. A. Hamoud, A. D. Khandagale, R. Shah, and K. P. Ghadle, *Some new results on Hadamard neutral fractional nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity **12**(04) (2023), 893-903.
- [23] K. Hilal, L. Ibnelazyz, K. Guida, S. Melliani, *Existence of mild solutions for an impulsive fractional integro-differential equations with non-local condition*, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- [24] K. Hilal, K. Guida, L. Ibnelazyz, M. Oukessou, *Existence Results for an Impulsive Fractional Integro-differential Equations with Non-compact Semigroup*, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- [25] S. A. M. Jameel, S. A. Rahman, and A. A. Hamoud, *Analysis of Hilfer fractional Volterra-Fredholm system*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, (2024), 259-273.
- [26] R. Khalil, M. Al Horani, A. Yousef, M. Sababheh, *A new definition of fractional derivative*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, **264** (2014), 65-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2014.01.002>
- [27] S. Khan, *Existence theory and stability analysis to a class of hybrid differential equations using confirmable fractal fractional derivative*, J Frac Calc & Nonlinear Sys, **5**(1) (2024), 1-11. doi:10.48185/jfcns.v5i1.1103
- [28] H. Khan, S. Ahmed, J. Alzabut, A. T. Azar, *A generalized coupled system of fractional differential equations with application to finite time sliding mode control for Leukemia therapy*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **174** (2023), 113901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113901>
- [29] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics*, (49) (2013). Springer Science & Business Media, New York. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4757-4317-3>

- [30] K. Latrach, M. A. Taoudi, A. Zeghal, *Some fixed point theorems of the Schauder and the Krasnosel'skii type and application to nonlinear transport equations*, Journal of Differential Equations, **221**(1) (2006), 256-271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2005.04.010>
- [31] W. Lin, *Global existence theory and chaos control of fractional differential equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **332**(1) (2007), 709-726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.10.040>
- [32] R. Luca, *Advances in boundary value problems for fractional differential equations*, Fractal and Fractional, **7**(5) (2023), 406. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract7050406>
- [33] J. A. Machado, D. S. Labora, *Fractional Fractals*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., **23**(2020), 1329-1348. <https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2020-0066>
- [34] Powers, L. David, Boundary value problems, Elsevier, Accademic Press, New York (2014). <https://shop.elsevier.com/books/boundary-value-problems/powers/978-0-12-374719-8>
- [35] Y. Ren, X. Cheng, R. Sakthivel, *Impulsive neutral stochastic functional integro-differential equations with infinite delay driven by fBm*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **247** (2014), 205-212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.08.095>
- [36] F. B. Tatom, *The relationship between fractional calculus and fractals*, Fractals, **3**(01) (1995), 217-229. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X95000175>
- [37] S. Unhale, S. Kendre, *On existence and uniqueness results for iterative fractional integro-differential equation with deviating arguments*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, **19** (2019), 116-127.
- [38] H. W. Zhou, S. Yang, S. Q. Zhang, *Conformable derivative approach to anomalous diffusion*, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, **491** (2018), 1001-1013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.09.101>

email: ahmed.hamoud@taiz.edu.ye

Received February 2024; revised July 2024.