

# Common Fixed Point Theorems For Semigroup Actions Of Kannan type On Strictly Convex Banach spaces

ABDELKADER DEHICI

## Abstract

Let  $C$  be a weakly compact convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space  $X$ . Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup which acts on  $C$  so that the action is weakly separately continuous of weakly continuous Kannan mappings with some additional conditions for which the functions  $s \in S \rightarrow f_x(s) = f(sx)$  and  $s \in S \rightarrow f_x(s) = f(xs)$  belongs to  $Z$  a closed linear subspace of  $l^\infty(S)$  containing constants and invariant under translations for every  $f \in C(S)$ . We prove that if  $Z$  has a left invariant mean then  $C$  has a common fixed point of  $S$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 47H10

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup, in other words a semigroup with a Hausdorff topology such that the mappings  $s \in S \rightarrow st$  and  $s \in S \rightarrow ts$  are continuous from  $S$  into  $S$  for each  $t \in S$ . Let  $l^\infty(S)$  be the Banach space of bounded real-valued functions on  $S$  with the supremum norm. For  $s \in S$  and  $g \in l^\infty(S)$ , the left and right translations of  $g$  in  $l^\infty(S)$  are defined by

$$l_s g(t) = g(st) \text{ and } r_s g(t) = g(ts)$$

for all  $t \in S$ .

Let  $X$  be a closed linear subspace of  $l^\infty(S)$  containing constants and invariant under translations, i.e.,  $l_s(X) \subset X$  and  $r_s(X) \subset X$ . A linear functional  $\mu \in X^*$  is called a left invariant mean on  $X$  if  $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$  and  $\mu(l_s g) = \mu(g)$  for each  $s \in S$  and  $g \in X$ . By a same way, we can define a right invariant mean. Let  $C(S)$  the closed subalgebra consisting of all continuous functions on  $S$  and let  $LUC(S)$  be the space of left uniformly continuous functions on  $S$ , i.e. all functions  $f \in C(S)$  such that the mappings  $s \in S \rightarrow l_s f$  from  $S$  to  $C(S)$  is continuous if  $C(S)$  is equipped with the sup norm topology.

---

**Keywords:** *strictly convex Banach space, weakly compact convex subset, Kannan semigroup action, invariant mean, weakly almost periodic function, common fixed point.*

**Corresponding author address:** Laboratory of Informatics and Mathematics  
University of Souk-Ahras, P.O.Box 1553, Souk-Ahras 41000, Algeria  
E-mails: dehicikader@yahoo.fr

A function  $f \in C(S)$  is strongly almost periodic if the set  $\{l_a f; a \in S\}$  is relatively compact in  $C(S)$  equipped with the sup norm topology. We denote by  $AP(S)$ , the space of strongly almost periodic functions on  $S$  which is a sup norm closed translation invariant subalgebra of  $C(S)$  containing constants. Also, it is known that  $f \in AP(S)$  if and only if the set  $\{r_a f; a \in S\}$  is relatively compact in  $C(S)$  equipped with the sup norm topology.

A function  $f \in C(S)$  is strongly weakly almost periodic if the set  $\{l_a f; a \in S\}$  (equivalently,  $\{r_a f; a \in S\}$ ) is relatively weakly compact in  $C(S)$  equipped with the sup norm topology. We denote by  $WAP(S)$ , the space of strongly weakly almost periodic functions on  $S$ . In general, we have

$$AP(S) \subset WAP(S) \cap LUC(S)$$

and if  $S$  is a discrete set, we obtain the following inclusions

$$AP(S) \subset WAP(S) \subset LUS(S) = l^\infty(S)$$

Let  $K$  be a subset of a Banach space  $X$  with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . An action of  $S$  on  $K$  is a mapping of the set  $S \times K$  into  $K$ , denoted by  $(s, x) \longrightarrow s.x = T_s x$  for which  $(s_1 s_2).x = s_1(s_2.x)$  for all  $s_1, s_2 \in S, x \in K$ . A point  $x \in K$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  with respect to this action if  $s.x = T_s x = x$  for all  $s \in S$ . An action of  $S$  on  $K$  is called of Kannan's type if it satisfies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|sx - sy\| &= \|T_s x - T_s y\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|x - sx\| + \|y - sy\|) \text{ for all } x, y \in K. \end{aligned}$$

A strictly convex Banach space  $X$  is a Banach space such that for every  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$  and  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  then necessarily we obtain that  $x = cy$  for some  $c > 0$ . This is equivalently to the fact that, if for any  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$  and  $\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|x_2 - x_3\| = \|x_1 - x_3\|$ , then necessarily  $x_2$  belongs to the segment  $[x_1, x_3] = \{tx_1 + (1 - t)x_3; t \in [0, 1]\}$ .

**Remark 1.1** It is well known that Hilbert spaces and  $L_p([0, 1]) (1 < p < \infty)$  are strictly convex. Also, every uniformly convex space is strictly convex but the converse is in general not true (see [2]).

## 2 Main Results

First of all, we give the following basic lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup and  $Z$  a closed linear subspace of  $l^\infty(S)$  containing constants and invariant under translations. Suppose that  $S$  acts on a weakly compact subset  $K$  of a Banach space  $X$  so that the action is weakly separately continuous and there exists  $x \in K$  such that for every  $h \in C(K)$ , the function  $s \in S \longrightarrow h_y(s) = h(s.y)$  belongs to  $Z$ . If  $Z$  has a left mean, then there exists a nonempty weakly compact and norm-separable subset  $F_0 \subset K$  such that  $sF_0 = \{s.y : y \in F_0\} = F_0$  for every  $s \in S$ .

**Remark 2.1** The existence of  $F_0$  given in the previous lemma is connected to the properties of probability Radon measures on  $K$  corresponding to some functionals associated to left means on  $Z$  (see Lemma 3.5 in [7]).

Our main result in this work is given by the following theorem for which the proof is based essentially of many techniques used by [3, 7].

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup and  $Z$  a closed linear subspace of  $l^\infty(S)$  containing constants and invariant under translations. Assume that  $S$  acts on a weakly compact subset  $D$  of a strictly convex Banach space  $X$  such that the action is weakly separately continuous of weakly continuous Kannan mappings satisfying the following assumptions:

(i) For every neighborhood  $\tilde{V}$  of 0 in  $X$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  with  $N_\delta \subset \tilde{V}$  such that for every  $z \in D$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset S$  and  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset D$  for which

$$T_{s_j}((x_j + N_\delta) \cap D) \subseteq (z + N_\delta + \tilde{V}) \cap D$$

where  $s_j = t_j t_{j-1} \dots t_1$  for all  $j \geq 1$ .

(ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for every integers  $j, p \geq 1 (j \leq p)$ , we have

$$T_{t_p t_{p-1} \dots t_{j+1}}((z + N_\delta) \cap D) \subseteq T_{t_p t_{p-1} \dots t_{j+1}}(z) + N_\epsilon$$

Assume that the function  $s \in S \rightarrow h_y(s) = h(s.y)$  belongs to  $Z$  for every  $y \in D$  and every  $h \in C(D)$ . If  $Z$  has a left invariant mean then there is a common fixed point of  $S$  in  $D$ .

*Proof.* We recall that a subset  $Y \subseteq D$  is called invariant if  $sy \in Y$  for all  $s \in S, y \in Y$ . So, by Kuratowski-Zorn's lemma, there exists a nonempty minimal weakly compact and convex subset  $C$  of  $D$  which is invariant under  $S$ . Again, by the same lemma, there exists a nonempty minimal weakly compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  which is invariant under  $S$ . Fix  $x \in K$  then if  $h \in C(K)$  we have  $h_x = \tilde{h}_x \in Z$ , where  $\tilde{h}_x : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an extension of  $h_x$  to the set  $D$ . Applying lemma 2.1 to ensure the existence of a weakly compact and norm-separable subset  $F_0$  of  $K$  such that  $sF_0 = F_0$  for every  $s \in S$ . From minimality of  $K$ , we obtain  $F_0 = K$  is separable and  $\{T_s.x = x : s \in S\}$  is weakly dense in  $K$  for every  $x \in K$ . Now, by taking account to assumptions (i) and (ii) and the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [4], we get that  $K$  is norm-compact. If  $diam(K) = 0$ , then  $K$  is a singleton, then the point in this set is a common fixed point of  $S$ . Assume then that  $K$  has at least two points. Let  $\delta(K) = diam(K) > 0$ . Now, let  $s \in S$ , by the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, we have  $sw_s = w_s$  for some  $w_s \in K$ . Since  $K$  is compact, then there exists  $y_0 \in K$  such that  $\|y_0 - w_s\| = \sup\{\|x - w_s\| : x \in K\}$ . Also, the fact that  $y_0 = sy_0$  for some  $\tilde{y}_0 \in K$  gives that

$$\|w_s - y_0\| = \|sw_s - sy_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{y}_0 - sy_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(K).$$

By the choice of  $\tilde{y}_0$ , it follows that

$$\|w_s - x\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(K) \quad \text{for all } x \in K. \quad (2.1)$$

Also, the compactness of  $K$  implies the existence of  $x_1, x_2 \in K$  such that  $\|x_1 - x_2\| = \delta(K)$ . Hence

$$\delta(K) = \|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \|x_1 - w_s\| + \|x_2 - w_s\| \leq \delta(K). \quad (2.2)$$

Consequently, we get

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| = \|x_1 - w_s\| + \|x_2 - w_s\|.$$

Since  $X$  est strictly convex, it follows that,  $w_s = t_0x_1 + (1 - t_0)x_2$  ( $t_0 \in ]0, 1[$ ). Now, by (2.1), we prove easily that  $t_0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and shows that  $w_s = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$  for all  $s \in S$ . If we denote by  $w_0 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$ , it follows that  $w_0$  is a unique common fixed point of  $S$ .

**Remark 2.2** For Kannan's action, the uniqueness of the common fixed point is ensured which is not the case for nonexpansive actions.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup. Assume that  $S$  acts on a weakly compact subset  $D$  of a strictly convex Banach space  $X$  such that the action is weakly separately continuous (i.e., separately continuous when  $D$  is equipped with the weak topology), weakly quasi-equicontinuous of weakly continuous Kannan mappings satisfying the following assumptions:

(i) For every neighborhood  $\tilde{V}$  of 0 in  $X$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $N_\delta \subset \tilde{V}$  such that for every  $z \in D$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset S$  and  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset D$  for which

$$T_{s_j}((x_j + N_\delta) \cap D) \subseteq (z + N_\delta + \tilde{V}) \cap D$$

where  $s_j = t_j t_{j-1} \dots t_1$  for all  $j \geq 1$ .

(ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for very integers  $j, p \geq 1$  ( $j \leq p$ ), we have

$$T_{t_p t_{p-1} \dots t_{j+1}}((z + N_\delta) \cap D) \subseteq T_{t_p t_{p-1} \dots t_{j+1}}(z) + N_\epsilon$$

Assume that  $WAP(S)$  has a left invariant mean, then  $S$  has a common fixed point on  $S$ .

*Proof.* If  $WAP(S)$  has a left invariant mean, the fact that the action is weakly separately continuous and weakly quasi-equicontinuous, by using ([5], Lemma 3.2), we get that  $h_x(s) = h(s.x)$ ,  $s \in S$  belongs to  $WAP(S)$  for every  $h \in C(D)$  and  $x \in D$ . Now, the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with  $Z = WAP(S)$  and consequently, we obtain the common fixed point of  $S$  in  $D$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $S$  be a semitopological semigroup. Assume that  $S$  acts on a weakly compact subset  $D$  of a strictly convex Banach space  $X$  such that the action is weakly separately continuous (i.e., separately continuous when  $D$  is equipped with the weak topology), weakly quasi-equicontinuous of weakly continuous Kannan mappings satisfying the following assumptions:

(i) For every neighborhood  $\tilde{V}$  of 0 in  $X$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $N_\delta \subset \tilde{V}$  such that for every  $z \in D$ , there exists a sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset S$  and  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset D$  for which

$$T_{s_j}((x_j + N_\delta) \cap D) \subseteq (z + N_\delta + \tilde{V}) \cap D$$

where  $s_j = t_j t_{j-1} \dots t_1$  for all  $j \geq 1$ .

(ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for very integers  $j, p \geq 1$  ( $j \leq p$ ), we have

$$T_{t_p t_{p-1} \dots t_{j+1}}((z + N_\delta) \cap D) \subseteq T_{t_p t_{p-1} \dots t_{j+1}}(z) + N_\epsilon$$

Assume that  $AP(S)$  has a left invariant mean, then  $S$  has a common fixed point on  $S$ .

*Proof.* If  $AP(S)$  has a left invariant mean, the fact that the action is weakly separately continuous and weakly quasi-equicontinuous, by using ([6], Lemma 3.1), we get that  $h_x(s) = h(s.x), s \in S$  belongs to  $WAP(S)$  for every  $h \in C(D)$  and  $x \in D$ . Now, the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with  $Z = WAP(S)$  and consequently, we obtain the common fixed point of  $S$  in  $D$ .

**Remark 2.3** In the case of nonexpansive mappings, it is easy to observe that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T^n$  is nonexpansive which is not the case of Kannan mappings. Indeed, it suffices to take  $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $Tx = 1 - x$ , thus  $T$  is a Kannan mapping but  $T^2 = I$  which is not a Kannan mapping. But if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x > 2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to observe that  $f$  is a Kannan mapping with  $f^2 = 0$  and consequently  $S = \{0, f\}$  is a Kannan semigroup which acts on  $\mathbb{R}$  (it can be equipped with its discrete topology).

## References

- [1] R. Demarr, *Common fixed points for commuting contractive mappings*, Pacific. J. Math., (13) (1963), 1139-1141.
- [2] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. First edition (1990).
- [3] A. T. Lau and C. S. Wong, *Common fixed points for semigroups of mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 41 (1) (1973), 223-228.
- [4] A. T. Lau and W. Takahashi, *Invariant means and fixed point properties for non-expansive representations of topological semigroups*, Topol. Methods. Nonlinear. Anal., (5) (1995), 39-57.
- [5] A. T. Lau and Y. Zhang, *Fixed point properties for semigroups of nonlinear mappings and amenability*, J. Func. Anal., (254) (2008), no. 10, 2534-2554.
- [6] A. T. Lau, *Invariant means on almost periodic functions and fixed point properties*, Rocky. Mountain. J. Math., (3) (1973), 69-76.
- [7] A. Wisnicki, *Amenable semigroups of nonexpansive mappings on weakly compact convex sets*, J. Nonlinear. Convex. Anal., 17 (2016), 2119-2127.
- [8] C. S. Wong, *Fixed points of generalized nonexpansive mappings on an interval*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 42 (1974), 234-235.