GLOBALLY CONVERGENCE OF NONLINEAR CONJUGATE GRADIENT METHOD FOR UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION
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Abstract. The conjugate gradient method is a useful and powerful approach for solving large-scale minimization problems. In this paper, a new nonlinear conjugate gradient method is proposed for large-scale unconstrained optimization. This method include the already existing two practical nonlinear conjugate gradient methods, to combine the nice global convergence properties of Fletcher-Reeves method (abbreviated FR) and the good numerical performances of the Polak–Ribiére–Polyak method (abbreviated PRP), which produces a descent search direction at every iteration and converges globally provided that the line search satisfies the Wolfe conditions. Our numerical results show that of the new method is very efficient for the given test problems. In addition we will study the methods related to the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 65K05, 90C25, 90C26, 90C27, 90C30.

Received January 9, 2017. Accepted April 5, 2017.

1. Introduction

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem

\[ \min f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \]  

(1.1)

where \( f \) is a smooth function and its gradient is available. Conjugate gradient methods are a class of important methods for solving (1.1), especially for large scale problems, which have the following form:

\[ x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k, \]  

(1.2)

where \( x_k \) is the current iterate, \( \alpha_k \) is a positive scalar and called the step length which is determined by some line search, and \( d_k \) is the search direction generated by the rule

\[ d_k = \begin{cases} 
- g_k & \text{for } k = 1; \\
- g_k + \beta_k d_{k-1} & \text{for } k \geq 2,
\end{cases} \]  

(1.3)

where \( g_k = \nabla f(x_k) \) is the gradient of \( f \) at \( x_k \), and \( \beta_k \) is a scalar.
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The strong Wolfe conditions, namely,
\[ f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) \leq \delta \alpha_k g_k^T d_k \]  
\[ g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \leq -\sigma g_k^T d_k, \]  
where \(0 < \delta < \sigma < 1\).

The scalar \(\beta_k\) is chosen so that the method (1.2), (1.3) reduces to the linear conjugate gradient method in the case when \(f\) is convex quadratic and exact line search \((g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k = 0)\) is used.

For general functions, however, different formula for scalar \(\beta_k\) result in distinct nonlinear conjugate gradient methods (see [7, 10, 12, 15, 18]). The best-known formulas for \(k\) are the following Fletcher-Reeves (FR) and Polak-Ribière-Polyak (PRP):

\[ \beta_k^{FR} = \frac{\|g_k\|^2}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2}, \]  
\[ \beta_k^{PRP} = \frac{g_k^T y_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2}, \]

where \(\|\cdot\|\) means the Euclidean norm and \(y_{k-1} = g_k - g_{k-1}\). For non-quadratic objective functions, the global convergence of (FR) method was proved when the exact line search or strong Wolfe line search \([2, 9]\) was used. However, if the condition (1.5) is satisfied for \(\sigma < 1\), the above method of (FR) with the strong Wolfe line search can ensure a descent search direction and converge globally provided only for the case when \(f\) is quadratic [9], see the counter example of Powell [16].

Recently, Dai and Yuan (DY) [7] proposed a nonlinear conjugate gradient method, which has the form (1.2), (1.3) with

\[ \beta_k^{DY} = \frac{\|g_k\|^2}{d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}. \]

A remarkable property of the DY method is that it provides a descent search direction at every iteration and converges globally provided that the step size satisfies the Wolfe conditions (see [6]), namely, (1.4) and

\[ \sigma g_k^T d_k \leq g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k. \]

In [8], Dai and Yuan proposed a family of globally convergent conjugate methods, in which

\[ \beta_k = \frac{\|g_k\|^2}{\lambda \|g_{k-1}\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1})}, \]

where \(\lambda \in [0, 1]\) is a parameter, and proved that the family of methods using line searches that satisfy (1.4) and

\[ \sigma_1 g_k^T d_k \leq g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \leq -\sigma_2 g_k^T d_k, \]

converges globally if the parameters \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2\), and \(\lambda\) are such that

\[ \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq \lambda^{-1}, \]

where \(0 < \delta < \sigma_1 < 1\) and \(\sigma_2 > 0\). In addition, Sellami et al. [17] proposed a new family of conjugate gradient methods, in which

\[ \beta_k = \frac{(1 - \lambda_k) \|g_k\|^2 + \lambda_k (-g_k^T d_k)}{(1 - \lambda_k - \mu_k) \|g_{k-1}\|^2 + (\lambda_k + \mu_k) (-g_{k-1}^T d_{k-1})}, \]

where \(\lambda_k \in [0, 1]\) and \(\mu_k \in [0, 1 - \lambda]\) are parameters, and proved that the new family can ensure a descent search direction at every iteration and converges globally under line search condition (1.4) and (1.11) where the scalars \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) satisfy the condition

\[ \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq \frac{1 + \mu_k \sigma_1}{1 - \lambda_k}. \]
Observing that the formula \((1.6)\) and \((1.7)\) share same denominators and two numerators, we can use combinations of these numerators and denominators to obtain the following new nonlinear conjugate gradient method

\[
\beta_k^* = \frac{\lambda \|g_k\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(g_k^T y_{k-1})}{\lambda \|g_{k-1}\|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \|g_{k-1}\|^2}.
\]

\[(1.15)\]

Thus by the above equality in \((1.14)\), we deduce an equivalent form of \(\beta_k^*\),

\[
\beta_k^* = \frac{\lambda \|g_k\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(g_k^T y_{k-1})}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2}.
\]

\[(1.16)\]

with \(\lambda \in [0, 1]\) being a parameter. We see that the above formula for \(\beta_k^*\) is special forms of

\[
\beta_k^* = \frac{\phi_k}{\phi_{k-1}},
\]

\[(1.17)\]

where \(\phi_k\) satisfies that

\[
\phi_k = \lambda \|g_k\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(g_k^T y_{k-1}),
\]

\[(1.18)\]

and

\[
\phi_{k-1} = \lambda \|g_{k-1}\|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \|g_{k-1}\|^2 = \|g_{k-1}\|^2.
\]

\[(1.19)\]

It is clear that the formula \((1.17)\) is a generalization of the two previous methods defined by \((1.6)\) and \((1.7)\). The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Some preliminaries are given in the next section. Section 3 provides two convergence theorems for the general method \((1.2), (1.3)\) with \(\beta_k^*\) defined by \((1.17)\). Section 4 includes the main convergence properties of the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method with Wolfe line search, and we study methods related to the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method \((1.17)\). The preliminary numerical results are contained in Section 5. Conclusions and discussions are made in the last section.

\section{2. Preliminaries}

For convenience, we assume that \(g_k \neq 0\) for \(k \geq 1\). We also assume that \(g_0 = 0\) for \(k = 0\), which gives us \(y_0 = g_1 - g_0 = g_1\), for otherwise a stationary point has been found. We give the following basic assumption on the objective function.

\textbf{Assumption 2.1.}

(i) \(f\) is bounded below on the level set \(\mathcal{L} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; f(x) \leq f(x_1)\}\).

(ii) In some neighborhood \(\mathcal{N}\) of \(\mathcal{L}\), \(f\) is differentiable and its gradient \(g\) is Lipschitz continuous, namely, there exists a constant \(L > 0\) such that

\[
\|g(x) - g(\bar{x})\| \leq L \|x - \bar{x}\|,
\]

\[(2.1)\]

for all \(x, \bar{x} \in \mathcal{N}\).

Some of the results obtained in this paper depend also on the following assumption.

\textbf{Assumption 2.2.} The level set \(\mathcal{L} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; f(x) \leq f(x_1)\}\) is bounded.

If \(f\) satisfies Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, there exists a positive constant \(\gamma\) such that

\[
\|g(x)\| \leq \gamma, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{L}.
\]

\[(2.2)\]

The conclusion of the following lemma, often called the Zoutendijk condition, is used to prove the global convergence of nonlinear conjugate gradient methods.
Lemma 2.3. Suppose Assumption 2.1 holds. Let \(\{x_k\}\) be generated by (1.2) and \(d_k\) satisfy \(g_k^T d_k < 0\). If \(\alpha_k\) is determined by the Wolfe line search (1.4), (1.9), then we have

\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(g_k^T d_k)^2}{\|d_k\|^2} < \infty. \tag{2.3}
\]

In the latter section, we need the following lemmas, the first of which is derived from [2], whereas the second is self-evident and will be used for many times.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that \(\{a_i\}\) and \(\{b_i\}\) are positive number sequences. If

\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} a_k = \infty, \tag{2.4}
\]

and there exist two constants \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) such that for all \(k \geq 1,\)

\[
b_k \leq c_1 + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i, \tag{2.5}
\]

then we have that

\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = \infty. \tag{2.6}
\]

Lemma 2.5. Consider the following 1-dimensional function,

\[
\rho(t) = \frac{a + bt}{c + dt}, \quad t \in R^1, \tag{2.7}
\]

where \(a, b, c,\) and \(d \neq 0\) are given real numbers. If

\[
bc - ad > 0, \tag{2.8}
\]

\(\rho(t)\) is strictly monotonically increasing for \(t < \frac{-c}{d}\) and \(t > \frac{-c}{d}\). Otherwise, if

\[
bc - ad < 0, \tag{2.9}
\]

\(\rho(t)\) is strictly monotonically decreasing for \(t < \frac{-c}{d}\) and \(t > \frac{-c}{d}\).

3. Algorithm and convergence analysis

Now we can present a new descent conjugate gradient method, namely NDCG method, as follows:

Algorithm 3.1

Step 0: Given \(x_1 \in R^n\), set \(d_1 = -g_1, \ k = 1\). If \(g_1 = 0\), then stop.

Step 1: Find a \(\alpha_k > 0\) satisfying the Wolfe conditions (1.4) and (1.9).

Step 2: Let \(x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k\) and \(g_{k+1} = g(x_{k+1})\). If \(g_{k+1} = 0\), then stop.

Step 3: Compute \(\beta_{k+1}^*\) by the formula (1.17) and generate \(d_{k+1}\) by (1.3).

Step 4: Set \(k := k + 1\), go to Step 1.

In order to establish the global convergence result for the Algorithm 3.1, we will impose the following basic lemma.

For simplicity, we define

\[
r_k = \frac{-g_k^T d_k}{\phi_k}, \tag{3.1}
\]
and

\[ t_k = \frac{\|d_k\|^2}{\phi_k^2}. \]  

(3.2)

**Lemma 3.1.** For the method (1.2), (1.3) with \( \beta_k^* \) defined by (1.17),

\[ t_k = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{r_i}{\phi_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2}, \]  

(3.3)

holds for all \( k \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** For \( k = 1 \), we have that \( d_1 = -g_1 \), then by the definition (3.2), we get that

\[ t_1 = \frac{\|d_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2}. \]

Or equivalently,

\[ t_1 = 2 \frac{\|d_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2} - \frac{\|d_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2}. \]

By the definition (3.1) of \( r_k \), the above relation is equivalent to (3.3) for \( d_1 = -g_1 \), so (3.3) holds. For \( i \geq 2 \), it follows from (1.3) that

\[ d_i + g_i = \beta_i^* d_{i-1}. \]  

(3.4)

Squaring both sides of the above equation, we get that

\[ \|d_i\|^2 = -\|g_i\|^2 - 2 g_i^T d_i + \beta_i^* \|d_{i-1}\|^2. \]  

(3.5)

Dividing (3.5) by \( \phi_i^2 \) and applying (1.17) and (3.2),

\[ t_i = \frac{\|d_{i-1}\|^2}{\phi_{i-1}^2} + 2 \frac{r_i}{\phi_i} - \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2}. \]  

(3.6)

Using (1.18), (1.19) and since, \( d_1 = -g_1 \) we get that

\[ \frac{\|d_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2} = \frac{\|g_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2} = \frac{\|g_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2}. \]  

(3.7)

Summing the above expression (3.6) over \( i \), we obtain

\[ t_k = t_1 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{k} \frac{r_i}{\phi_i} - \sum_{i=2}^{k} \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2}. \]  

(3.8)

Since \( d_1 = -g_1 \) and \( t_1 = \frac{\|g_1\|^2}{\phi_1^2} \), the above relation is equivalent to (3.3). So (3.3) holds for \( k \geq 1 \). \( \Box \)

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that \( x_1 \) is a starting point for which Assumption 2.1 holds. Consider the method (1.2), (1.3) and (1.17), if for all \( k \), \( d_k \) satisfy \( g_k^T d_k < 0 \) and \( \alpha_k \) is determined by the Wolfe line search (1.4) and (1.9), if

\[ \sum_{k \geq 1} r_k^2 = \infty, \]  

(3.9)

we have that

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|g_k\| = 0. \]  

(3.10)
Proof. (1.3) can be re-written as
\[ g_i^T d_i + \|g_i\|^2 = \beta_i^* g_i^T d_{i-1}. \]  
(3.11)

Square \((g_i^T d_i + \|g_i\|^2)\) in order to obtain,
\[ -2g_i^T d_i - \|g_i\|^2 \leq \frac{(g_i^T d_i)^2}{\|g_i\|^2}, \]  
(3.12)

dividing (3.12) by \(\phi_i^2\) and applying (3.3)
\[ t_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{r_i^2}{\|g_i\|^2}. \]  
(3.13)

We proceed by contradiction. Assuming that
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|g_k\| \neq 0. \]  
(3.14)

Then there exists a positive constant \(\gamma\) such that
\[ \|g_k\|^2 \geq \gamma, \quad \text{for all } k. \]  
(3.15)

We can see from (3.13) that,
\[ t_k \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i^2. \]  
(3.16)

The above relation, (3.9) and Lemma 2.4, yield
\[ \sum_{i \geq 1} r_i^2 t_i = \infty. \]  
(3.17)

Thus, by the definition (3.1) and (3.2), we know that (3.17) contradicts (2.3). This concludes the proof. \[ \square \]

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that \(x_1\) is a starting point for which Assumption 2.1 holds. Consider the method (1.2), (1.3) and (1.17), if for all \(k\), \(d_k\) satisfy \(g_k^T d_k < 0\) and \(\alpha_k\) is determined by the Wolfe line search (1.4) and (1.9), if
\[ \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|g_k\|^2}{\phi_k} = \infty, \]  
(3.18)

we have that
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|g_k\| = 0. \]  
(3.19)

Proof. Noting that
\[ t_k \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } k, \]  
(3.20)

Squaring the left side of equation (3.12), we get that
\[ \left( -2g_i^T d_i - \|g_i\|^2 \right)^2 \geq 0. \]

Hence, we have
\[ 4(g_i^T d_i)^2 + \|g_i\|^4 + 4(g_i^T d_i) \|g_i\|^2 \geq 0. \]  
(3.21)
Summing this expression over \(i\) and dividing (3.21) by \((\phi_i^2 \|g_i\|^2)\), we obtain
\[
4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(g_i^T d_i)^2}{\phi_i^2 \|g_i\|^2} \geq -4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(g_i^T d_i)}{\phi_i^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2}.
\]
(3.22)

On the other hand, we can get from (3.3), (3.20) and the definition of \(r_k\)
\[
-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{g_i^T d_i}{\phi_i^2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|g_i\|^2 \frac{g_i}{\phi_i^2}.
\]
Direct calculation show that,
\[
-4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{g_i^T d_i}{\phi_i^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2}.
\]
(3.23)
The above relation (3.22) and (3.23) imply that
\[
4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(g_i^T d_i)^2}{\phi_i^2 \|g_i\|^2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\|g_i\|^2}{\phi_i^2}.
\]
Thus if (3.18) holds, we also have that
\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(g_k^T d_k)^2}{\phi_k^2 \|g_k\|^2} = \infty.
\]
(3.24)

Because (3.13) still holds, it follows from (3.24), the definition of \(r_k\) and Lemma 2.4, that
\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(g_k^T d_k)^2}{\|g_k\|^2 \|d_k\|^2} = \infty.
\]
(3.25)

The above relation and Lemma 2.3 clearly give (3.10). This completes our proof.

Thus we have proved two convergence theorems for the general method (1.2), (1.3) with \(\beta_k^*\) defined by (1.17).

It should also be noted that the sufficient descent condition, namely
\[
g_k^T d_k \leq -c \|g_k\|^2,
\]
(3.26)
where \(c\) is a positive constant, is not invoked in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. The sufficient descent condition (3.26) was often used or implied in the previous analysis of conjugate gradient methods (see [1,11]). This condition has been relaxed to the descent condition \((g_k^T d_k < 0)\) in the convergence analysis [7] of the FR method and the convergence analysis [5] of any conjugate gradient method.

4. Global convergence

In this section, we establish some global convergence of the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method under certain line searches conditions and the methods related to this method are uniformly discussed.

We consider the method (1.2), (1.3) with \(\phi_k\) satisfying
\[
\phi_k = \lambda \|g_k\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(g_k^T y_{k-1}).
\]
(4.1)

Where \(\lambda \in [0,1]\). (4.1) and (1.3) show that
\[
g_k^T d_k = -\|g_k\|^2 + \beta_k^* g_k^T d_{k-1}
\]
\[
= -\|g_k\|^2 + \lambda \|g_k\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(g_k^T y_{k-1}) \frac{g_k^T d_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2}.
\]
(4.2)
By the definition of $y_k$, we obtain that
\[
\left[g_k^T d_k + \|g_k\|^2\right]\|g_{k-1}\|^2 = \left[\lambda \|g_k\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)(g_k^T g_k - g_k^T g_{k-1})\right]g_k^T d_{k-1}.
\]
Which implies that
\[
g_k^T d_k \|g_{k-1}\|^2 = \|g_k\|^2 g_k^T d_{k-1} - (1 - \lambda) \|g_k\|^2 g_k^T d_{k-1} - \|g_k\|^2 \|g_{k-1}\|^2.
\]
The above relation imply that
\[
g_k^T d_k = -\frac{\|g_{k-1}\|^2 - g_k^T d_{k-1} + (1 - \lambda)g_k^T d_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2} \|g_k\|^2.
\]
Thus by using (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain
\[
\beta_k^* g_k^T d_{k-1} - \|g_k\|^2 = -\frac{\|g_{k-1}\|^2 - g_k^T d_{k-1} + (1 - \lambda)g_k^T d_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2} \|g_k\|^2.
\]
The above relation imply that
\[
\beta_k^* = \frac{g_k^T d_{k-1} + (1 - \lambda)(-g_k^T d_{k-1})}{g_k^T d_{k-1}} \|g_k\|^2.
\]
The above form for $\beta_k^*$ and relation (1.17), we obtain that
\[
\phi_k = \frac{g_k^T d_{k-1} + (1 - \lambda)(-g_k^T d_{k-1})}{g_k^T d_{k-1}} \|g_k\|^2.
\]
By this relation, we can show an important property of $\phi_k$ under Wolfe line searches and hence obtain the global convergence of the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method (4.4) under some assumptions.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that $x_1$ is a starting point for which Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Consider the method (1.2), (1.3), (1.17) and (4.1), if $g_k^T d_k < 0$ for all $k$ and $\alpha_k$ is computed by the Wolfe line search (1.4), (1.9), then
\[
\frac{\phi_k}{\|g_k\|^2} \leq (\sigma + \lambda - 1)^{-1}.
\]
Further, the method converges in the sense that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \|g_k\| = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since (1.9), we have that
\[
g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \geq \sigma g_k^T d_k.
\]
By direct calculations show that
\[
1 + \frac{(1 - \lambda)(-g_k^T d_{k-1})}{g_k^T d_{k-1}} \leq 1 + \frac{(1 - \lambda)(-g_k^T d_{k-1})}{\sigma g_k^T d_{k-1}}.
\]
Dividing (4.5) by $\|g_k\|^2$ and applying (4.9) implies the truth of (4.6). Therefore, by (2.2) and (4.9) that
\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|g_k\|^2}{\phi_k^2} \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma + \lambda - 1)^2} \|g_k\|^2 \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma + \lambda - 1)^2 \gamma^2} = \infty.
\]
Thus (3.10) follows from Theorem 3.3. \qed
In the following, we can show that, for any \( \lambda \in (0, 1] \), the method (1.2), (1.3), (1.17) and (4.1) ensures the descent property of each search direction and converges globally under line search condition (1.4) and (1.11) where the scalars \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) satisfy certain condition. For this purpose, we define

\[
\nabla_k = -\frac{g_k^T d_k}{\|g_k\|^2},
\]

(4.11)

and

\[
l_k = \frac{g_{k+1}^T d_k}{g_k^T d_k},
\]

(4.12)

it is obvious that \( d_k \) is a descent direction if and only if \( \nabla_k > 0 \). For The above relation, (4.3) and (4.12), we can write

\[
\nabla_k = 1 + (l_{k-1} + \lambda - 1)\nabla_{k-1}.
\]

(4.13)

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that \( x_1 \) is a starting point for which Assumption 2.1 holds. Consider the method (1.2), (1.3), (1.17) and (4.1), where \( \lambda \in (0, 1] \) and \( \alpha_k \) satisfies the line search conditions (1.4) and (1.11). If \( g_k^T g_{k-1} = 0 \) for \( k \geq 1 \), and if the scalars \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) in (1.11) with \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0 \) and \( \sigma_1 < 1 \), is such that

\[
\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq \lambda,
\]

(4.14)

then we have for all \( k \geq 1 \)

\[
0 < \bar{r}_k < (1 - \sigma_1)^{-1}.
\]

(4.15)

Further, the method converges in the sense that (3.10) is true.

**Proof.** The right hand side of (4.13) is a function of \( \lambda, l_{k-1} \) and \( \nabla_{k-1} \), which is denoted as

\[
h(\lambda, l_{k-1}, \bar{r}_{k-1}).
\]

We prove (4.15) by induction. Noting that \( d_1 = -g_1 \) and hence \( \bar{r}_1 = 1 \), we see that (4.15) is true for \( k = 1 \). We now suppose that (4.15) holds for \( k - 1 \), namely,

\[
0 < \bar{r}_{k-1} < (1 - \sigma_1)^{-1}.
\]

(4.16)

It follows from (1.11)

\[
-\sigma_2 \leq l_{k-1} \leq \sigma_1.
\]

(4.17)

Then by Lemma 2.5, the fact that \( \lambda \in (0, 1] \), we get that

\[
\bar{r}_k \leq h(\lambda, \sigma_1, \bar{r}_{k-1}) < h(\lambda, \sigma_1, (1 - \sigma_1)^{-1})
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{\sigma_1}{1 - \sigma_1} - \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 - \sigma_1}
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda}{1 - \sigma_1}
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \sigma_1)^{-1}.
\]

(4.18)

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5 and relation (4.14), we also have that

\[
\bar{r}_k \geq h(\lambda, -\sigma_2, \bar{r}_{k-1}) > h(\lambda, -\sigma_2, (1 - \sigma_1)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{1 - (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)} \geq 0.
\]

(4.19)

Thus (4.15) is true for \( k \), by induction, (4.15) holds for \( k \geq 1 \).

To show the truth of (3.10), by Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove that

\[
\max \{r_{k-1}, r_k\} \geq c_1,
\]

(4.20)
1 for all $k \geq 2$ and some constant $c_1 > 0$. In fact, if

$$\bar{r}_{k-1} \leq 1,$$  (4.21)

2 by Lemma 2.5, the fact that $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, we can get that

$$\bar{r}_k \geq h(\lambda, -\sigma_2, 1) \equiv c_2.$$  (4.22)

3 Since $c_2 \in (0, 1)$, we then obtain

$$\max \{\bar{r}_{k-1}, \bar{r}_k\} \geq c_2,$$  (4.23)

4 for all $k \geq 2$. By the definition (3.1) of $r_k$ and relation (4.1), we have that

$$r_k = \frac{\bar{r}_k}{1 + (1 - \lambda)\eta_k}.$$  (4.24)

5 Where $\eta_k = -\frac{g_k^T g_{k-1}}{\|g_k\|^2}$.

6 Since $g_k^T g_{k-1} = 0$. Hence, we have

$$r_k = \bar{r}_k$$  (4.24)

7 which, with (4.23) and (4.24), implies that (4.20) holds with $c_1 = c_2$.

8 Now, if

9 $$\tau_{k-1} > 1,$$

10 by Lemma 2.5, the fact that $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, we can get that

$$\tau_k \geq h(\lambda, -\sigma_2, 1) \equiv c_2.$$  (4.22)

11 Since $c_2 \in (0, 1)$, we then obtain

$$\max \{\tau_{k-1}, \tau_k\} > 1 > c_2.$$  (4.23)

12 We complete the same steps the proof in the previous case (the case $\tau_{k-1} \leq 1$), we get the same result in which (4.20) holds with $c_1 = c_2$. This completes our proof.\[\square\]

13 Thus we have some general convergence results are established for the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method (4.4). It is easy to see from (4.4) that the new nonlinear conjugate gradient method include the two nonlinear conjugate gradient methods mentioned above. For the case when $\lambda = 1$, in Theorem 4.2, we again obtain the convergence result of the FR method in [9]. Letting $\lambda \equiv 0$, in Theorem 4.2, we again obtain the convergence result of the PRP method in [15].

14 In addition, the methods related to the FR method and the DY method in [7,13] can also be regarded as special cases of the new method (4.4). For example, to combine the nice global convergence properties of the FR method and the good numerical performance of the PRP method.

15 Hu and Storey [13] extended the result in [1] to any method (1.2) and (1.3) with $\beta_k$ satisfying

$$\beta_k \in [0, \beta_{FR}^k].$$  (4.25)

16 Gilbert and Nocedal [11] further extended the result to the case that

$$\beta_k \in [-\beta_{FR}^k, \beta_{FR}^k].$$  (4.26)

17 Dai and Yuan [7] proved that the method (1.2) and (1.3) with $\beta_k$ satisfying

$$\beta_k \in \left[\frac{\sigma - 1}{1 + \sigma \beta_k}, \beta_k\right],$$  (4.27)
where $\bar{\beta}_k$ stands for the formula (1.8), and with $\alpha_k$ chosen by the Wolfe line search give the convergence relation (3.10), if the line search conditions are (1.4) and (1.5) with $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$. For methods related to the method (4.4).

We have the following result, where $s_k$ is given by

$$s_k = \frac{\beta_k}{\beta_k^*}, \quad (4.28)$$

where $\beta_k^*$ stands for the formula (1.17). We prove that any method (2.2), (2.3) with the strong Wolfe line search produces a descent search direction at every iteration and converges globally if the scalar $\beta_k$ is such that

$$-c \leq s_k \leq (1 - \sigma)^{-1}, \quad (4.29)$$

where $c = (1 + \sigma)/(1 - \sigma) > 0$.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose that $x_1$ is a starting point for which Assumption 2.1 holds. Consider the method (1.2) and (1.3), where

$$\beta_k = \tau_k \frac{g_k^T d_{k-1} + (1 - \lambda)(-g_k^T d_{k-1}) \|g_k\|^2}{g_k^T d_{k-1} \|g_{k-1}\|^2}, \quad (4.30)$$

and where $\alpha_k$ is computed by the strong Wolfe line search (1.4) and (1.5) with $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$. For any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, if

$$\tau_k \in \left[ \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - (1 + \sigma)}, 1 \right], \quad (4.31)$$

and $\beta_k$ is such that

$$s_k \in \left[ -c, (1 - \sigma)^{-1} \right], \quad (4.32)$$

then if $g_k \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, we have that

$$0 < \bar{r}_k < (1 - \sigma)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (4.33)$$

Further, the method converges in the sense that (3.10) is true.

**Proof.** From relation (4.30), (4.28) and by direct calculations we can show that

$$\bar{r}_k = 1 + [(l_{k-1} + \lambda - 1)\bar{r}_{k-1} - \tau_k], \quad (4.34)$$

and

$$s_k = \frac{(\lambda + l_{k-1} - 1)\tau_k}{(1 + l_{k-1})}, \quad (4.35)$$

where $\bar{r}_k$ and $l_k$ are defined by (4.11) and (4.12). Now the right hand side of (4.34) is a function of $\lambda$, $\tau_k$, $l_{k-1}$ and $\bar{r}_{k-1}$, which can be denoted as $h(\lambda, \tau_k, l_{k-1}, \bar{r}_{k-1})$. We prove (4.33) by induction. Noting that $d_1 = -g_1$ and hence $\bar{r}_1 = 1$, we see that (4.33) is true for $k = 1$. We now suppose that (4.33) holds for $k - 1$, namely,

$$0 < \bar{r}_{k-1} < (1 - \sigma)^{-1}. \quad (4.36)$$

It follows from (1.5)

$$|l_{k-1}| \leq \sigma. \quad (4.37)$$
Then by Lemma 2.5, and the fact that \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \), we get that

\[
\bar{r}_k \leq \max \left\{ h(\lambda, 1, l_{k-1}, \bar{r}_{k-1}), h(\lambda, \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - (1 + \sigma)}, l_{k-1}, \bar{r}_{k-1}) \right\}
\]  
(4.38)

\[
\leq \max \left\{ h(\lambda, 1, \sigma, \bar{r}_{k-1}), h(\lambda, \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - (1 + \sigma)}, -\sigma, \bar{r}_{k-1}) \right\}
\]

\[
< \max \left\{ h(\lambda, 1, \sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}), h(\lambda, \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - (1 + \sigma)}, -\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}) \right\}
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - \sigma} = (1 - \sigma)^{-1},
\]  
(4.39)

where \( \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \) is also used in the equality. For the opposite direction, we can prove that

\[
\bar{r}_k > \min \left\{ h(\lambda, 1, -\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}), h(\lambda, \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - (1 + \sigma)}, -\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}) \right\} \geq 0.
\]  
(4.40)

Thus (4.33) is true for \( k \), by induction, (4.33) holds for \( k \geq 1 \).

We now prove (3.10) by contradiction and assuming that

\[
\|g(x)\| \geq \gamma, \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0 \text{ and all } k \geq 1.
\]  
(4.41)

Since \( d_k + g_k = \beta_k d_{k-1} \), we have that

\[
\|d_k\|^2 = \beta_k^2 \|d_{k-1}\|^2 - 2g_k^T d_k - \|g_k\|^2.
\]  
(4.42)

Dividing both sides of (4.42) by \( (g_k^T d_k)^2 \) and using (4.11) and (4.29), we obtain

\[
\frac{\|d_k\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} = \frac{\beta_k^2 \|d_{k-1}\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} + \frac{2}{\bar{r}_k \|g_k\|^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}_k^2 \|g_k\|^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{(s_k \beta_k^2) \|d_{k-1}\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} + \frac{1}{\|g_k\|^2} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - 1/\bar{r}_k} \right].
\]  
(4.43)

In addition, by the definition (4.11) of \( \bar{r}_k \), the relations (1.3) and (4.29), we get

\[
\bar{r}_k \|g_k\|^2 = -g_k^T d_k = \|g_k\|^2 - s_k \beta_k^* g_k^T d_{k-1},
\]  
(4.44)

the above relation and the definition (4.12) imply that

\[
s_k \beta_k^* = \frac{(1 - \bar{r}_k)}{L_{k-1}(g_k^T d_{k-1})} \|g_k\|^2.
\]  
(4.45)

Relation (4.43) and (4.45), we obtain

\[
\frac{\|d_k\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} = \frac{(1 - \bar{r}_k)^2 \|d_{k-1}\|^2}{\bar{r}_k^2 L_{k-1}(g_k^T d_{k-1})^2} + \frac{1}{\|g_k\|^2} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \bar{r}_k} \right].
\]  
(4.46)

Denote

\[
m_k = \frac{1 - \bar{r}_k}{\bar{r}_k L_{k-1}},
\]  
(4.47)
where \( l_{k-1} \neq 0 \). Now we prove that
\[
|m_k| \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 2. \tag{4.48}
\]
the right hand side of (4.47) is a function of \( l_{k-1} \) and \( \boldsymbol{\tau}_k \), which can be denoted as \( h(l_{k-1}, \bar{r}_k) \). We can get by (3.33), (3.37) and Lemma 2.5 that
\[
m_k \leq \max \{ h(\sigma, \bar{r}_k), h(-\sigma, \bar{r}_k) \} < \max \{ h(\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}), h(-\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}) \} = 1. \tag{4.49}
\]
Thus we have that
\[
m_k \geq \min \{ h(-\sigma, \bar{r}_k), h(\sigma, \bar{r}_k) \} > \min \{ h(-\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}), h(\sigma, (1 - \sigma)^{-1}) \} = -1. \tag{4.50}
\]
Therefore (4.48) holds for all \( k \geq 2 \).
By (4.48) and (4.46), we obtain
\[
\frac{\|d_k\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} \leq \frac{\|d_{k-1}\|^2}{(g_{k-1}^T d_{k-1})^2} + \frac{1}{\|g_k\|^2}. \tag{4.51}
\]
Because \( \|d_1\|^2 / (g_1^T d_1)^2 = 1 / \|g_1\|^2 \), (4.51) shows that
\[
\frac{\|d_k\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\|g_i\|^2}, \tag{4.52}
\]
for all \( k \). Then we get from this and (4.41) that
\[
\frac{\|d_k\|^2}{(g_k^T d_k)^2} \geq \frac{\gamma}{k}, \tag{4.53}
\]
which implies that
\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(g_k^T d_k)^2}{\|d_k\|^2} = +\infty. \tag{4.54}
\]
This contradicts the Zoutendijk condition (2.3). Therefore (3.10) holds.

5. Numerical Results

In this section, we report some numerical results obtained with the new proposed conjugated gradient method. The code is written in Fortran and compiler settings on the PC machine (AMD, 1.61 GHZ, 960M memory) with Windows operation system. There are a number of 68 unconstrained test problems in generalized or extended from CUTE [4] and [3] collection with dimensions ranging from 2 to 8000. We adopt the performance profiles by Delan and Moré [14] to compare the performance between the following four conjugate gradient algorithms:

**PRP** : The PRP method with the strong Wolfe conditions, where \( \delta = 10^{-4} \) and \( \sigma = 0.1 \).

**PRP** : The PRP method with nonnegative values of \( \beta_k = \max \{ 0, \beta_k^{PRP} \} \) and the strong Wolfe conditions, where \( \delta = 10^{-4} \) and \( \sigma = 0.1 \).

**NDCG** : Algorithm 3.1 with the Wolfe conditions (1.4) and (1.11), where the scalars \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) satisfy the condition (4.14), in addition, \( \delta = 10^{-4} \), \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma = 0.1 \), \( \lambda = 0.5 \).
NDCG$^W$: Algorithm 3.1 with the standard Wolfe conditions, where $\delta = 10^{-4}$, $\sigma = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.5$.

During our experiments, the strategy for the initial step length is to assume that the first-order change in the function at iterate $x_k$ will be the same as that obtained at the previous step [13]. In other words, we choose the initial guess $\alpha_0$ satisfying:
\[
\alpha_0 = \frac{\Psi_{k-1}}{\Psi_k} \quad \forall k > 1,
\]
where $\Psi_k = g_k^T d_k$, when $k = 1$, we choose $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\|g(x_1)\|}$. In the case when an uphill search direction does occur, we restart the algorithm by setting $d_k = -g_k$, but this case never occurs for NDCG$^{SW}$ and NDCG$^W$. We stop the iteration if the inequality $\|g_k\|_\infty \leq 10^{-5}$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the maximum absolute component of a vector. Figures 1–3 give performance profiles of the four methods for the number of iterations, function and gradient evaluations, and the CPU time, respectively.

From the above three figures, we can see that all the methods are efficient. The new method (NDCG) performs better than the PRP$^{SW}$ and PRP$^+^{SW}$ methods, for the given test problems. These preliminary results obtained are encouraging.

6. Conclusions and discussions

In this paper, we have proposed a new nonlinear conjugate gradient method, and studied the global convergence of this method. The new method include the two already known simple and practical conjugate gradient methods. First, we can see that, the descent property of the search direction plays an important role in establishing some general convergence results of the method in the form (1.17) with weak Wolfe line search (1.4) and (1.9) even in the absence of the sufficient descent condition (3.27), namely, Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 4.1. Next, from Theorem 4.2, we proved that the new method can ensure a descent search direction at every iteration and converges globally under line search conditions (1.4) and (1.11) where the scalars $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ satisfy the condition (4.14). From Theorem (4.3), we have carefully studied methods related to the method (4.4). Denote $s_k$ to be the size of $\beta_h$ with respect to $\beta_h^*$. If $\tau_k$ and $s_k$ belongs to some interval, namely, (4.31) and (4.32) respectively, the
corresponding methods are shown to produce a descent search direction at every iteration and converge globally provided that the line search satisfies the strong Wolfe conditions (1.4) and (1.5) with $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$. In summary, our computational results show that this new descent nonlinear conjugate gradient method, namely NDCG method not only converges globally, but also performs better than the original PRP method. The results, we hope, can stimulate more study on the theory and implementations on the conjugate gradient methods with the Wolfe line search. For future research, we should investigate to find the practical performance of the method (4.4).
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The following table lists the names of the 68 test problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARWHEAD</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BD1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BDEXP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BEALE</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIGGSB1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BROWNAL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>BROYDN7D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>COSINE</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAGGLVY</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>DENSCHNB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DENSCHNF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIXMAANA</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIXMAANB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXMAANC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXMAANE</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXMAANF</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIXMAANG</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXMAANI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXMAANJ</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXMAANK</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIXMAANL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIXON3DQ</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDENSC</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>EG2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ENGVAL1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>FLETCHCR</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREUROTH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>GHUMPS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>GROSEN</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>GPSC1</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>506</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>3444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td></td>
<td>612</td>
<td></td>
<td>7564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIEBERT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>HIMMELBLA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LIARWHD</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONCVXU2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NONDIA</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENALTY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>POWELL</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>POWELLSG</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PPQ2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>PSCI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>QF1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>QP1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>QP2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>QUARTC</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAYDAN1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>RAYDAN2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ROSEN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SINQUAD</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>SQ2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>SROSENBR</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>499</td>
<td></td>
<td>499</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITEHOLST</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>WOODS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TRIADI1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>TRIADI2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTRIGON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>GTRIDIAG</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>DIAG2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CLIFF</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>506</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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